

### 20 November 2018 [64-18]

## Administrative Assessment Report – Application A1173

Minimum protein in follow-on formula

Date received: 23 October Date due for completion o Date completed: 12 Nover	f administrative assessment: 13 November 2	018
Applicant: Nestle Nutrition Oceania		Potentially affected standards: Standard 2.9.1 and
Brief description of Application:		Schedule 29
To vary the minimum protein	n requirement in follow-on formula.	
Procedure: General (Level 3)	Estimated total hours: Maximum 1000 hours	Provisional estimated start work: June 2019
	Reasons why: This Application will involve a nutritional assessment of greater than average complexity to consider the risk to public health and safety of a reduction of the minimum protein amount in follow-on formula.	

#### Decision

Application accepted Date: 12 November 2018

# Has the Applicant requested confidential commercial information status? Yes $\checkmark$

#### What documents are affected?

Section 1.1.1 - Costs and benefits of the Application

Amino acid profiles of test formulas of 2 submitted studies

Has the Applicant provided justification for confidential commercial information request? Yes  $\checkmark$ 

Has the Applicant sought special consideration e.g. novel food exclusivity, two separate applications which need to be progressed together?

No √

#### Charges

Does FSANZ consider that the application confers an exclusive capturable commercial benefit on the Applicant?

No √

Does the Applicant want to expedite consideration of this Application?

Yes √

#### Application Handbook requirements

Which Guidelines within the Part 3 of the *Application Handbook* apply to this Application? 3.1.1, 3.3.3 and 3.6.2

Is the checklist completed?

Yes √

Does the Application meet the requirements of the relevant Guidelines?

Yes 🗸

If no, where did the application fail to meet requirements?

Does the Application relate to a matter that may be developed as a food regulatory measure, or that warrants a variation of a food regulatory measure?

Yes 🗸

Is the Application so similar to a previous application or proposal for the development or variation of a food regulatory measure that it ought not to be accepted? No  $\checkmark$ 

Did the Applicant identify the Procedure that, in their view, applies to the consideration of this Application?

Yes 🗸

If yes, indicate which Procedure:

General

Other Comments or Relevant Matters:

#### Consultation & assessment timeframe

**Proposed length of public consultation period:** 6 weeks

#### Proposed timeframe for assessment:

Commence assessment (clock start)mid JaCompletion of assessment & preparation of draft food reg measureend CPublic commentearlyBoard to complete approvalearlyNotification to Forummid MAnticipated gazettal if no review requestedJune 2

mid June 2019 end Oct 2019 early Nov – mid Dec 2019 early March 2020 mid March 2020 June 2020